## 2016 Aluminization of WHT Primary Mirror (M1) - Reflectivity Data from CT7 reflectometer

Measurement and analysis by Neil O'Mahony. Each measurement at a different location, distributed around the edge of the mirror.

			npr.	wavele	ngth of	band (r	ım)				"Dust li	ndices"					
			Ter °C	365	404	464	522	624	760	970	365	404	464	522	624	760	970
703	16/05/2016 14:19	3	18.4	87.8	86.5	86.5	86.7	86.2	83.6	89.5	10.5	10.3	10.3	8.1	9.0	8.0	7.7
704	16/05/2016 14:21	3	18.6	88.2	87.2	87.3	87.5	87.0	84.6	90.4	10.3	9.3	9.0	7.3	7.6	6.2	6.3
705	16/05/2016 14:21	3	18.7	88.8	88.0	87.9	88.3	87.6	85.3	91.3	9.3	8.0	7.7	5.4	6.1	4.4	4.0
ā	average			88.3	87.2	87.2	87.5	86.9	84.5	90.4	10.0	9.2	9.0	6.9	7.6	6.2	6.0
r	range			1.0	1.5	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.2	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.6	3.7

M1 mesurements (%R) with CT7 before aluminsing.

Note: M1 had not been cleaned since 9 March (CO2), losing ~1% R since then.

#### M1 measurements with CT7 directly after aluminizing. Dust had visibly begun to gather by **the end** of this first set.

706	18/05/2016 10:09	1	18.1	92.9	91.2	90.8	90.8	89.9	87.2	93.3	2.7	2.5	2.4	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.2
707	18/05/2016 10:10	1	18.4	93.1	91.4	91.0	91.0	90.1	87.3	93.4	2.2	1.9	1.9	1.3	1.3	0.9	0.8
708	18/05/2016 10:12	1	18.6	93.2	91.5	91.0	91.0	90.1	87.3	93.3	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.2	1.2	0.9	0.9
709	18/05/2016 10:13	1	18.8	93.1	91.4	91.0	91.0	90.1	87.3	93.3	2.3	2.0	1.9	1.3	1.3	1.0	0.9
710	18/05/2016 10:14	1	18.9	93.0	91.3	90.8	90.9	89.9	87.2	93.2	2.6	2.4	2.2	1.7	1.7	1.2	1.2
711	18/05/2016 10:15	1	19.1	93.1	91.4	90.9	91.0	90.0	87.2	93.2	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.3	1.3	1.0	0.9
712	18/05/2016 10:17	1	19.3	93.0	91.3	90.9	90.9	90.0	87.2	93.2	2.5	2.3	2.2	1.6	1.6	1.2	1.1
713	18/05/2016 10:18	1	19.4	93.2	91.4	91.0	91.0	90.1	87.3	93.2	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.4	1.4	1.0	0.9
õ	average			93.08	91.36	90.93	90.95	90.03	87.25	93.26	2.3	2.1	2.0	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.0
r	maximum*, n>1			93.2	91.4	91.0	91.0	90.1	87.3	93.3							
r	ange			0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4
9	td deviation			0.10	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2

\*maximum value in this set of measurements, if this occurs more than once. Highlighted in green. Note consistency across bands.

This implies that we are detecting locations with different reflectivity immediately after aluminising.

Note: nominal error on measurement is 0.1, but may be larger in blue bands.

More M1 measurements, half an hour later.

			npr.	wavele	ngth of	band (r	ım)				"Dust Ir	ndices"					
			°C	365	404	464	522	624	760	970	365	404	464	522	624	760	970
714	18/05/2016 10:49	1	17.3	93.0	91.3	90.9	91.0	89.9	87.3	93.3	2.2	2.0	1.8	1.3	1.3	0.9	1.0
715	18/05/2016 10:50	1	17.3	93.1	91.4	90.9	91.0	90.0	87.3	93.4	2.1	1.9	1.8	1.3	1.3	0.9	0.9
716	18/05/2016 10:51	1	17.4	93.0	91.2	90.7	90.7	89.9	87.0	93.1	2.4	2.3	2.3	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.3
717	18/05/2016 10:52	1	17.6	93.1	91.3	90.9	91.0	90.0	87.2	93.3	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.4	1.5	1.1	1.0
718	18/05/2016 10:53	1	17.7	93.1	91.4	90.9	91.0	90.0	87.2	93.2	2.3	2.1	2.0	1.4	1.4	1.0	1.0
ā	iverage			93.06	91.32	90.86	90.94	89.96	87.20	93.26	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.0
Ę	lobal range		-	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3							
differe	nce from earlier avera	ige		0.01	0.04	0.07	0.01	0.06	0.05	0.00	much s	maller t	han sto	l.dev.			

Note these differences, though smaller than the standard deviation, are all positive. Maxima are down 0.1% in some bands.

This is within measurement error of the instrument. No significant change has been detected and all data can be grouped together.

global average %R Aluminization	93.07	91.35	90.90	90.95	90.00	87.23	93.26							
global std.deviation	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.09							
wavebands (nm)	365	404	464	522	624	760	970							
	%R							Dust Inc	lex					
Improvement from Aluminisation	4.8	4.1	3.7	3.5	3.1	2.8	2.9	-7.7	-7.1	-7.0	-5.5	-6.1	-5.1	-5.0

Note that the decrease in Dust Index is larger than the increase in reflectivity. The latter is an independent measurement, using a model.

## **Calibration/Comparison**

## CT7 measurements from 2015 aluminisation of Liverpool telescope (finder)

24/06/15 Liverpool Alum	93.4	91.7	91.2	91.2	90.2	87.6	93.6
24/06/15 Liverpool Alum	93.4	91.7	91.2	91.2	90.2	87.5	93.5
Change in readings since 2015	-0.3	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.3	-0.2

New readings slightly lower, however compare reference gauge (G) readings (taken 1 month after aluminisation in 2016):

			% Refle	ctivity						"Dust l	ndices"					
Ň	vaveband (nm)		365	404	464	522	624	760	970	365	404	464	522	624	760	970
729	24/06/2016 14:49 0	)G 23.7	84.7	83.8	88.5	90.7	89.7	83.5	87.0	2.4	3.0	2.6	1.5	1.1	0.8	1.1
	25/06/2015	G	84.6	83.5	88.4	90.6	89.6	83.3	86.5							
475	19/05/2015 11:53 0	) G 20.2	84.7	83.7	88.5	90.7	89.6	83.2	86.4	2.3	2.9	2.5	1.5	1	0.8	1
C	hange since 2015		0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.5	i.e. nov	v meası	uring hi	gher			

Above figures would suggest CT7 is reading high in recent aluminisation compared with the previous

Difference between aluminisations (2016-2015) when this overestimation is corrected for:

-0.5 -0.6 -0.4 -0.3 -0.4 -0.5 -0.7 (rounded)

Above changes suggest the current WHT M1 aluminisation gave ~0.5% lower reflectivity than the LT Aluminization in 2015. The Dust Index readings were much lower (0.4 to 0.7 %) on LT Alum. More scattering may explain slightly lower reflectivity in 2016

Difference in Coating measurements compared to valaues from literature (Hass 1961)

Hass 1961 %R values for Alum.	92.4	92.6	92.3	91.7	90.7
Hass - CT7(2016)	-0.67	1.254	1.4	0.754	0.7
Hass - CT7(2015)	-1.00	0.90	1.10	0.50	0.50

### Measurements using IRIS 4-band reflectometer (from GTC, LT) from previous aluminisations

waveband of IRIS (nm)	470	530	650	880
24/06/15 LT-IRIS Liverpool Tel Alum	92.0	91.0	89.7	82.3
24/06/15 CT7 LT Alum (close waveband)	91.7	91.2	91.2	90.2(760nm)
07/02/12 GTC-IRIS WHTM1 Alum	91.1	90.6	89.8	88.1

### Conclusion: agreement < 0.5% between CT7 and IRIS in all 3 overlapping bands.

The graph below summarises the most important results. Aluminium absorption band at 820 nm.



# Study of Dust Index and absorption

Following entries show the deviation from 100% of the sum (%Dust Index + %R)

This number represents the "missing light": part is Absorbtivity (Dust + Aluminium), part Model deviation (both unknown) We know aluminium has an absorption band at ~820 nm.

M1 before aluminis	ng	wavelength of band (nm)									
Index		365	404	464	522	624	760	970			
703		1.7	3.2	3.2	5.2	4.8	8.4	2.8			
704		1.5	3.5	3.7	5.2	5.4	9.2	3.3			
705		1.9	4.0	4.4	6.3	6.3	10.3	4.7			

## Values for M3 after water washing were similar (2-5%, 11% at 760 nm)

Directly after aluminizing there is no Dust, but Dust had visibly begun to gather by the end of this first set.

706	4.4	6.3	6.8	7.5	8.4	11.5	5.5
707	4.7	6.7	7.1	7.7	8.6	11.8	5.8
708	4.8	6.7	7.3	7.8	8.7	11.8	5.8
709	4.6	6.6	7.1	7.7	8.6	11.7	5.8
710	4.4	6.3	7.0	7.4	8.4	11.6	5.6
711	4.8	6.7	7.2	7.7	8.7	11.8	5.9
712	4.5	6.4	6.9	7.5	8.4	11.6	5.7
713	4.7	6.6	7.1	7.6	8.5	11.7	5.9

# More M1 measurements, half an hour later.

714	4.8	6.7	7.3	7.7	8.8	11.8	5.7
715	4.8	6.7	7.3	7.7	8.7	11.8	5.7
716	4.6	6.5	7.0	7.6	8.4	11.6	5.6
717	4.7	6.6	7.0	7.6	8.5	11.7	5.7
718	4.6	6.5	7.1	7.6	8.6	11.8	5.8
Range	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4
average "absorption"	4.6	6.6	7.1	7.6	8.6	11.7	5.7
waveband (nm)	365	404	464	522	624	760	970

With dust present, the "missing light" is lower. This makes sense, since after scattering on the dust, less is available for absorption. We can also estimate absorptivity from Hass (1961) as 100-R, but do not know how much these are affected by scattering.

7.4

7.6

How much do the missing light values agree?					
Abs(Hass)-Abs(CT7)	3.0	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.7

Abs+Scatt from HASS

So, the varying difference between fresh aluminium %R measured by CT7 and by Hass (1961), as seen above graph, seem to be made up by the variations in the DI from CT7, in 4 contiguous wavebands, except for a constant 0.7% offset. This implies Hass measurements were less affected by scattering (perhaps < 0.7%), but also that DI is a good measure of scattering in that range. This explains the lower reflectivity measured after this Aluminisation. The calibration in 365 nm is probably deficient.

7.7

8.3

9.3

Summary: This aluminisation gave 91% reflectance, 7% absorption, 2% scattering (and model 0 error) between 404 and 624 nm.

SMS uScan Reflectometer measurements of the WHT Primary mirror after Aluminisation

Lambda	0.67 mi	cron			
Incident		_	<sms summary<="" td=""></sms>		
Angle	25 008	2	characteristics		
BW Limits	1	0.01			

	Scattering at angles Θ,Φ			Pofloct	user	Rough-		
	Θs->	0	50	ivity	comm-	ness		
datum #	Фs->	0	180	τνττγ	ent	RMS(Å)	TIME	DATE
20	location 1	9.63E-04	6.46E-05	0.865		51.1	11:10:16	05-18-2016
21		9.64E-04	6.33E-05	0.862		52.4	11:10:20	05-18-2016
22	2	3.86E-03	2.82E-03	0.824		45.5	11:10:28	05-18-2016
23		3.87E-03	2.82E-03	0.829		45.4	11:10:34	05-18-2016
24	3	1.38E-03	4.75E-04	0.831	confirms	28.2	11:10:43	05-18-2016
25	4	1.03E-03	6.10E-05	0.862		60.3	11:11:02	05-18-2016
26		1.02E-03	5.91E-05	0.864		62.4	11:11:06	05-18-2016
27	5	1.30E-03	9.95E-05	0.861	last	52	11:11:27	05-18-2016
Averages:		1.09E-03	7.40E-05	0.862		55.6		

Comments: Measurements are as usual obtained in pairs without moving themeasurement head in between. The last measurement is apparently not recorded to file but presumably confirmed datum 27 (otherwise another datum would have been taken).

Location 2 shows ~3% lower reflectivity and is confirmed by datum 24 which was obtained by moving the measurement head.

Data for locations 2 and 3 are therefore omitted form averages.

Only the (50,80) degree scattering at location 2 is anomalously high compared with previous aluminisations. Surface roughness at location 3 is unusually low

.

1.