

LINE-PROFILE VARIATIONS IN PULSATING SDB STARS AS A PULSATION MODE DIAGNOSTIC

C. Schoenaers and A. E. Lynas-Gray

Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, U. K.

Received 2005 July 31

Abstract. In previous attempts to perform seismic modeling of pulsating subdwarf-B stars, various mode identification techniques are used with uncertain results. We investigated a method so far neglected in sdB stars, but very successful for main-sequence pulsators, i.e., mode identification from the line-profile variations caused by stellar pulsation. We report the calculation of time-resolved synthetic spectra for sdB stars pulsating with various combinations of pulsation modes; these calculations were carried out over appropriate ranges of effective temperature, surface gravity and helium abundances. Preliminary tests using these synthetic line-profile variations demonstrated their potential for mode identification by comparison with observations.

Key words: stars: hot subdwarfs – stars: oscillations

1. INTRODUCTION

Subdwarf B (sdB) stars are believed to be low-mass ($\sim 0.5M_{\odot}$) core helium burning objects belonging to the extreme horizontal branch (EHB) (Heber 1986). With thin and mostly inert hydrogen-rich residual envelopes, sdB stars remain hot ($20\,000 \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 40\,000$) and compact ($5 \leq \log g \leq 7$) throughout their EHB lifetime (Saffer et al. 1994); they eventually evolve towards the

white dwarf cooling sequence without experiencing the asymptotic giant branch and planetary nebula phases of evolution. While binary population synthesis calculations by Han et al. (2002, 2003) successfully demonstrate the formation of sdB stars through several possible channels, resulting models require further comparison with observation. As sdB stars are believed to be responsible for the ultraviolet-upturn seen in the energy distributions of elliptical galaxies and spiral galaxy bulges (Yi et al. 1997), understanding sdB star formation may provide an




Fig. 1. $l = 3$ pulsation mode with m from 3 (left) to 0 (right) and i from 30° (top) to 90° (bottom) (GONG/NSO/AURA/NSF).

important diagnostic for studying galaxy evolution and formation.

The discovery (Kilkenny et al. 1997; Green et al. 2003) that some sdB stars are nonradial pulsators means asteroseismology could be used to discern their internal structure and so constrain evolution models. But before any seismic modeling can be reliably attempted one must identify pulsation modes which are excited.

Assuming radial and non-radial pulsations can be modeled by spherical harmonics Y_{nlm} , mode identification tries to assign values to the spherical wavenumbers n , l and m : n is related to the number of nodes of the radial displacement, l is the number of nodal lines on the stellar surface and m is the number of such lines passing through the rotation axis of the star, as shown in Figure 1.

2. THE PROBLEM OF MODE IDENTIFICATION IN SDB STARS

Mode identification in sdB stars is far from being trivial. Several methods which prove efficient for mode identification in pulsating main-sequence stars have limitations in the case of sdB stars. Nevertheless, these mode identification techniques, however uncertain, are used in meritorious attempts at seismic modeling of the most promising pulsating sdB stars.

2.1. Period fitting

The method entails fitting an observed period spectrum of a pulsating star to a theoretical period spectrum and is straightforward in principle. However, when considering pulsating sdB stars there is a major difficulty to overcome (Brassard et al. 2001): so far, most sdB stars (except for PG 1605+072 with more than 50 periods (Koen et al. 1998) and KPD 1930+2752 with at least 44 periods (Billères et al. 2000)) have a rather sparse period spectrum, possibly because lower amplitude frequencies are not yet observed. This makes it very difficult to match objectively observed periods with periods computed from a model, as the latter are much more numerous.

Despite the intrinsic difficulty of period fitting in sdB stars, Brassard et al. (2001) use this technique to model the pulsating sdB star PG 0014+067 and tentatively identify 23 distinct pulsation modes. They search a four-parameter space (T_{eff} , $\log g$, the mass of the star M_* and the mass of its H-rich envelope M_{env}) for a model whose theoretical period spectrum could account for the observed periods in PG 0014+067, using a “merit” function that is minimum for the best fit(s). In addition to the four parameters, they also deduce the rotation velocity of the star and other properties (see their Table 6). They claim a good agreement with previous spectroscopic determination of T_{eff} and $\log g$, but we note that in order to discriminate between equally good fits (several minima of their merit function) they use spectroscopic constraints. More recently, the same method is applied by Charpinet et al. (2005) to PG 1219+534.

2.2. Amplitude ratios

Yet another method in use for mode identification in pulsating main-sequence stars relies on multicolor photometry. This method, known as the amplitude ratio method (Heynderickx et al. 1994), relies on the fact that for non-radially pulsating stars the photometric pulsation amplitude depends on wavelength and on the spherical wavenumber l of the pulsation mode. Ramachandran et al. (2004) investigate this method for non-radially pulsating sdB stars and draw the following

conclusions.

- For EC 14026 stars, only the modes of high l (3 and 4) should be easy to disentangle, provided their amplitudes are large enough to observe. On the other hand, low degree modes are very difficult to distinguish, and this problem becomes worse as the period shortens.

- For “Betsy” stars, modes of spherical degree $l = 1$ may be difficult to distinguish from modes with $l > 1$ in the case of longer periods.

Using ULTRACAM multicolor photometry, Jeffery et al. (2004, 2006) use the amplitude ratio method to identify pulsation modes of three sdB stars, HS 0039+4302, KPD 2109+4401 and PG 0014+067. Their results are provisional, as only statistical errors are taken into account.

3. LINE-PROFILE VARIATIONS IN PULSATING SDB STARS

We saw in the previous section that current mode identification methods in sdB stars need improvement. However none of these techniques use spectroscopy to perform mode identification, although it has proved useful when modeling pulsating main-sequence stars (e.g., Aerts et al. 1992; Briquet & Aerts 2003). Our claim is that spectroscopy, and the pioneering detailed computation of line-profile variations (lpv) we perform, could provide a more reliable mode identification method. Indeed, lpv enable a complete reconstruction of the non-radial oscillations of a star, provided that a time-series of spectra are observed with a high enough S/N ratio, temporal and wavelength resolution.

3.1. Computation of synthetic line-profile variations

The method used for our computation of theoretical line-profile variations is quite straightforward. We combined three computer programs, BRUCE (Townsend 1997), SYNSPEC (Hubeny & Lanz 2000) and KYLIE (Townsend 1997), so as to compute a series of time-resolved spectra exhibiting line-profile variations.

BRUCE first divides the stellar surface into a large number of very small surface elements that are determined by the usual polar and azimuthal coordinate angles θ and φ and a step-size $\Delta\theta$ and $\Delta\varphi$. BRUCE then perturbs the stellar surface, assuming the pulsation is linear and adiabatic, with selected combinations of pulsation modes at selected time steps, giving for each surface element its temperature, surface gravity, orientation, projected radial velocity and projected area.

It is important to realize that, because of the pulsation, different points on the stellar surface not only have different radial velocity, but also different temperature, $\log g$ and orientation, meaning that the contributions of the different surface elements to the line-profile have different amplitudes and energy distributions. The line-profile variations do not only come from the Doppler shift in wavelength, but also from the complex temperature and $\log g$ behavior on the stellar surface.

The emergent monochromatic flux in wavelength interval $\Delta\lambda$, at some wavelength λ and time t is then given by

$$F_\lambda(t) = \sum_{i,j} I_\lambda(t)_{ij} \mu(t)_{ij} \sin \theta_i \Delta\theta_i \Delta\varphi_j, \quad (1)$$

where $I_\lambda(t)_{ij}$ is the specific intensity emergent from the tile at θ_i and φ_j in wavelength interval $\Delta\lambda$, at wavelength λ and time t , in the direction of the observer,




Fig. 2. Trial computation of H_α line-profile variations for the short-period pulsator PG 1605+072. Only the six highest-amplitude pulsation modes (out of more than 50) were taken into account in this computation in order to reduce computing time. The line-profiles have been shifted along the ordinate axis (normalized flux) for clarity.

as computed by our modified version of SYNSPEC. The quantity

$$\mu(t)_{ij} \equiv \vec{n}(t)_{ij} \cdot \vec{s}$$

accounts for the time-dependent orientation of each tile, $\vec{n}(t)_{ij}$ being the normal to the tile at some time t , and \vec{s} being the direction of propagation of the radiation.

This is then repeated for the desired number of time-steps using KYLIE, therefore producing series of time-resolved observer-directed spectra. Examples of line-profile variations computed with our codes are given for different modes in Figures 2 and 3.

3.2. Observation of line-profile variations in pulsating sdB stars

Observations of line-profile variations in sdB stars have been made by Telting & Østensen (2004) who observed PG 1325+101 with the 2.5 m NOT telescope. Their observations showed strong evidence for a dominant radial pulsation mode, but most importantly drew attention to the potential of spectroscopic mode identification. Similar observations were made of the bright sdB star Balloon 090100001 (Telting et al. 2006).




Fig. 3. A time series of 1 Å resolution synthetic spectrum ratios for PB 8783 (short-period pulsator) and EC 21324-1346 (long-period pulsator) in left and right frames respectively. Second and subsequent synthetic spectra in each time-series are divided by the first, the elapsed time being given in minutes. Time-series calculations were based on assumed pulsation modes ($l = 3, m = 0; l = 2, m = 0; l = 1, m = 0; l = 2, m = 1; l = 3, m = 0$) and velocity amplitudes from Jeffery & Pollacco (2000) for PB 8783 and on modes ($l = 1, m = 1; l = 2, m = 1; l = 3, m = 0$) and amplitudes to match photometric variations of EC 21324-1346.

4. THE MOMENT METHOD AND ITS APPLICATION TO SDB STARS

4.1. The moment method

Mode identification from line-profile variations could in principle be carried out by a direct comparison of observed and synthetic spectra, but we propose to adapt to pulsating sdB stars the so-called *moment method*. It was first introduced by Balona (1986a,b, 1987) and later refined and applied to main-sequence pulsators by Aerts et al. (1992), Aerts (1996) and Briquet & Aerts (2003).

In this method, an observed line-profile is replaced by its first few moments, and their time dependence studied to identify the pulsation modes. The n th moment of a line-profile $p(v)$ (where v is the line-of-sight velocity component corresponding to the displacement from the laboratory wavelength) is defined as

$$\langle v^n \rangle \equiv \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v^n p(v) dv}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(v) dv}. \quad (2)$$

All the information contained in the line-profile can be reconstructed from the entire series of moments of n th order, but Briquet & Aerts (2003) showed that most of the information is contained in the first three moments:

- the first moment $\langle v \rangle$ is the centroid of the line-profile in a reference frame with origin at the stellar center;

- the second moment $\langle v^2 \rangle$ is related to the variance of the line-profile;
- the third moment $\langle v^3 \rangle$ relates to the skewness of the profile (see also Telting 2003).

Once the moments of the observed line-profile have been computed, their variations are compared with the time-dependence of theoretical moments (Aerts 1992). These depend on the wavenumbers l and m of the pulsation mode, but also on its amplitude v_p , on the inclination i of the star's axis of rotation to the observer, on its rotation velocity v_Ω and on the width σ of the intrinsic broadening profile, allowing a complete reconstruction of non-radial pulsation. One then selects the most likely set of parameters $(l, m, v_p, i, v_\Omega, \sigma)$ by minimizing a so-called discriminant (Briquet & Aerts 2003), which is a function that takes into account the quality of the fit between the theoretical and observed moments.

In Figure 4 we show the three normalized moments for a synthetic radial mode we computed. Even without computing the discriminant, the phase-dependence of the observed moments can provide some useful information. For instance

- the peak-to-peak amplitude of the first moment gives an idea about the overall velocity range due to the oscillation;
- if $\langle v^2 \rangle$ can be described by a single sine function with twice the frequency of the first moment it means that $m = 0$, while if the mode is sectoral ($|m| = l$) $\langle v^2 \rangle$ behaves sinusoidally with the same frequency as the frequency of the first moment.

4.2. Application to pulsating sdB stars

The major difficulty to overcome in order to apply the moment method to pulsating sdB stars comes from its current formulation (Briquet & Aerts 2003) in which the moment method neglects the variation of the specific intensity (i.e., $\delta I_\lambda(\theta_i, \varphi_j) = 0$) due to changes in T_{eff} and $\log g$ during the pulsation. This can be a good approximation when dealing with spectral lines that are not sensitive to temperature variations (such as silicon lines in β Cephei stars (Dupret et al. 2002 and De Ridder et al. 2002)) but in the case of sdB stars, temperature effects should not be neglected.

We tested the moment method in its current formulation on lpv of H α for various pulsation modes, but the identification was not always satisfactory. However it was extremely difficult to choose a suitable wavelength range over which the moments were to be computed. We are investigating a systematic way to make that




Fig. 4. First, second and third moments for the synthetic line profile of a radial mode computed using our codes.

choice, as well as whether the use of the narrower and much weaker helium lines might improve the mode identification. Another possibility is to take temperature effects into account using the higher-order moments, as Balona (1987) suggests.

5. FUTURE PROSPECTS

Our development of spectroscopic methods for pulsation mode identification in sdB stars was stimulated by the acquisition of observational data. A start on this was made in 2005 August using the South African Astronomical Observatory 1.9 m telescope to obtain time-resolved spectroscopy of “Betsy” stars (Schoenaers & Lynas-Gray 2006).

Furthermore, Kawaler & Hostler (2005) show internal rotation to be expected in sdB stars, the rate depending on distance from the stellar center. Mode splitting due to internal rotation cannot be identified using the usual formula applicable in the case of surface rotation and may have led to incorrect mode identification in previous works. Special attention therefore needs to be given to the modeling of this effect in pulsating sdB stars, even though the moment method should not be affected much by differential rotation, contrarily to period fitting.

However, mode identification in pulsating sdB stars is a real challenge with the methods discussed above. Other methods might prove worth investigating.

The so-called IPS method aims at comparing the observed amplitude and phase variations across the line-profile with the theoretical variations (Schrijvers et al. 1997 and Telting & Schrijvers 1997a, b). It was recently used by De Cat et al. (2005) to identify pulsation modes in monoprotic SPB (slowly pulsating B) stars, together with the method of photometric amplitude ratios and the moment method (with moments up to the sixth order).

Yet another mode identification method, Doppler Imaging, should be investigated. The method is based on the idea that line-profile variations of rapidly rotating stars are a sort of “doppler image” of the stellar surface within the line-profile. This method has been challenged because of its apparent lack of physical foundation, but Kochukhov (2004) claims a reliable recovery of the surface pulsation velocity structures can be achieved for all types of pulsation geometries accompanied by significant line-profile variations. It remains to be seen whether the line-profile variations in sdB stars are large enough.

To summarize, this paper presents several options for meeting the challenge presented by sdB star pulsation mode identification by spectroscopic methods. All appear to be worthy for further investigation, and the progress made to date is briefly summarized.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors are indebted to Conny Aerts for a stimulating discussion, to John Telting for his valuable comments as referee and the University of Oxford, Department of Physics, for travel grants.

REFERENCES

- Aerts C. 1996, *A&A*, 314, 115
- Aerts C., de Pauw M., Waelkens C. 1992, *A&A*, 266, 294
- Balona L. A. 1986a, *MNRAS*, 219, 111
- Balona L. A. 1986b, *MNRAS*, 220, 647

- Balona L. A. 1987, MNRAS, 224, 41
- Billères M., Fontaine G., Brassard P. et al. 2000, ApJ, 530, 441
- Brassard P., Fontaine G., Billères M. et al. 2001, ApJ, 563, 1013
- Briquet M., Aerts C. 2003, A&A, 398, 687
- Charpinet S., Fontaine G., Brassard P., Green E., Chayer P. 2005, A&A, 437, 575
- De Cat P., Briquet M., Daszynska-Daszkiewicz J. et al. 2005, A&A, 432, 1013
- De Ridder J., Dupret M.-A., Neuforge C., Aerts C. 2002, A&A, 385, 572
- Dupret M.-A., De Ridder J., Neuforge C., Aerts C. 2002, A&A, 385, 563
- Green E. M., Fontaine G., Reed M. D. et al. 2003, ApJ, 583, L31
- Han Z., Podsiadlowski Ph., Maxted P. F. L., Marsh T. R. 2003, MNRAS, 341, 669
- Han Z., Podsiadlowski Ph., Maxted P. F. L., Marsh T. R., Ivanova N. 2002, MNRAS, 336, 449
- Heber U. 1986, A&A, 155, 33
- Heynderickx D., Waelkens C., Smeyers P. 1994, A&AS, 105, 447
- Hubeny I., Lanz T. 2000, <http://tlusty.gsfc.nasa.gov/Synspec43/synspec.html>
- Jeffery C. S., Aerts C., Dhillon V. S., Marsh T. R. 2006, Baltic Astronomy, 15, 259 (these proceedings)
- Jeffery C. S., Dhillon V. S., Marsh T. R., Ramachandran B. 2004, MNRAS, 352, 699
- Jeffery C. S., Pollacco D. 2000, MNRAS, 318, 974
- Kawaler S. D., Hostler S. R. 2005, ApJ, 621, 432
- Kilkenny D., Koen C., O'Donoghue D., Stobie R. S. 1997, MNRAS, 285, 640
- Kochukhov O. 2004, A&A, 423, 613
- Koen C., O'Donoghue D., Kilkenny D. et al. 1998, MNRAS, 296, 317
- Ramachandran B., Jeffery C. S., Townsend R. H. D. 2004, A&A, 428, 209
- Saffer R. A., Bergeron P., Koester D., Liebert J. 1994, ApJ, 432, 351
- Schoenaers C., Lynas-Gray A. E. 2006, MNRAS (in preparation)
- Schrijvers C., Telting J. H., Aerts C., Ruymaekers E., Henrichs H. F. 1997, A&AS, 121, 343
- Telting J. H. 2003, Ap&SS, 284, 85
- Telting J. H., Østensen R. H. 2004, A&A, 419, 685
- Telting J. H., Østensen R. H., Heber U., Augusteijn T. 2006, Baltic Astronomy, 15, 235 (these proceedings)
- Telting J. H., Schrijvers C. 1997a, A&A, 317, 723
- Telting J. H., Schrijvers C. 1997b, A&A, 317, 742
- Townsend R. H. D. 1997, *Ph. D. Thesis*, University College London
- Yi S., Demarque P., Oemler A. 1997, ApJ, 486, 201