Unravelling the 3D effects of the bar and spirals in the Milky Way

Benoit Famaey

CNRS - Observatoire astronomique de Strasbourg Work done with A. Siebert, G. Monari & C. Faure

Oth order models: axisymmetry & equilibrium



Influence on secular evolution? Existing non-axisymmetries can bias the axisym. fit ! (test robustness of approximation at each step)

Signatures of non-axisymmetry in recent spectroscopic surveys

- RAVE (Siebert, Famaey, et al., 2011, 2012): gradient in the mean radial velocity of 4 km/s/kpc in extended solar neighbourhood (~200 000 stars)
- Affects stars substantially above (and below) the plane
- And mean vertical motions are non-zero too (Williams et al. 2013: RAVE, see also Widrow et al. 2012: SEGUE and Carlin et al. 2013: LAMOST)



Linearized Jeans equations for cold stellar fluid in 3D

Assume only one main non-axisymmetric perturber, long-lived enough (~1 Gyr) so that the stationary response is meaningful (Faure, Siebert & Famaey 2014)

Tightly-wound spiral:

$$\Phi_s = \mathbf{Re}\{\Phi_a(R, z) \exp[i m(\Omega_P t - \theta)]\}$$

with

$$\Phi_a = -A \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right) \exp\left(i\frac{m\ln(R)}{\tan p}\right)$$

Parameter	Spiral potential
\overline{m} $A (km^2 s^{-2})$ $p (deg)$ $z_0 (kmc)$	2 1000 -9.9 0.1
$\begin{aligned} &\Sigma_0(\text{ kpc}) \\ &\Omega_P(\text{kms}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}) \\ &R_{\text{ILR}}(\text{ kpc}) \\ &R_{\text{IUHR}}(\text{ kpc}) \\ &R_{\text{CR}}(\text{ kpc}) \end{aligned}$	$ 18.6 \\ 1.94 \\ 7.92 \\ 11.97 $

Linearized Jeans equations (zero dispersion):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v_{R1}}{\partial t} + \frac{v_{\theta 0}}{R} \frac{\partial v_{R1}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{2v_{\theta 0}v_{\theta 1}}{R} &= -\frac{\partial \Phi_1}{\partial R} \\ \frac{\partial v_{\theta 1}}{\partial t} + v_{R1} \frac{\partial v_{\theta 0}}{\partial R} + \frac{v_{\theta 0}}{R} \frac{\partial v_{\theta 1}}{\partial \theta} + v_{z1} \frac{\partial v_{\theta 0}}{\partial z} + \frac{v_{R1}v_{\theta 0}}{R} &= -\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \Phi_1}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial v_{z1}}{\partial t} + \frac{v_{\theta 0}}{R} \frac{\partial v_{z1}}{\partial \theta} &= -\frac{\partial \Phi_1}{\partial z} \end{aligned}$$

Solution is sum of terms of the form:

$$v_{R_{1}} = \mathbf{Re} \{ v_{Ra}(R, z) \exp[i m(\Omega_{P}t - \theta)] \}$$

$$v_{Ra} = -\frac{m(\Omega - \Omega_{P})}{\Delta} k \Phi_{a}$$

$$v_{za} = -\frac{2i}{m(\Omega - \Omega_{P})z_{0}} \tanh\left(\frac{z}{z_{0}}\right) \Phi_{a}$$

Faure, Siebert & Famaey (2014 MNRAS 440 2564)



Effect of spirals on mean motions



Effect of bar on mean motions

Signatures of non-axisymmetry in recent spectroscopic surveys

APOGEE (Bovy et al. 2015) finds (for ~8000 RC stars within 250 pc from plane) large-scale line-of-sight velocity fluctuations in the disk (associated with the **bar**)

Work in progress: bar+spiral

Examples of kinematic signatures

WEAVE LR strategy

A lot (100) l.o.s for total of several 10⁶ stars

Gaia DR2 + gal plane phot surveys (e.g. IPHAS)

Conclusions

- Clear signatures of non-axisymmetries in recent spectroscopic surveys
- RAVE radial velocity gradient can be explained by either bar or spiral... but spiral needs to be quite strong
- Strong variations of vertical motions cannot be induced by bar, but breathing mode qualitatively ok for spiral
- APOGEE confirms main effect of **bar** on large scales
- Work in progress:bar+spiral can enhance effect on vertical motions
- Soon (work in progress): also compare different spiral arms simulations (with D. Kawata)
- Velocities along ≠ lines of sight at large distances (WEAVE) can bring a lot of information even without very precise distances
- Clear that one can BIAS axisymmetric fit if one neglects effects of non-axisymmetries... => NO A PRIORI & GET QUANTITATIVE!

Conclusions & perspectives II

- Try to include the effects into MW modelling... Include effects of spirals and bar in DF (by e.g. perturbation theory)
- Test axisym. assumption on non-axisym. simus to test robustness
- Effect on estimating MW parameters such as local circular velocity or local DM density...
- Ideally, ultimately fit all effects simultaneously without too many priors on axisymmetric background
- BUT ALSO disentangle from additional effects due to nonequilibrium dynamics from satellites (bending modes) => history of accretion, possibility of dark matter subhalos interacting with disk etc.