9th most of the ejected dust was moved to the coma and the comet looked like as in the preimpact phase. The ejected dust is diluted in the comet tail.

The study of the structures of the dust coma in high S/N images (Fig. 3) provided also very interesting results:

- 1. The comet presented some dust structures in the pre-impact phase that indicate that the nucleus had some particularly active regions.
- 2. These structures remained after the impact, thus these active regions were not affected.
- 3. The new structures observed after the impact on July 4th rapidly disappeared and none remained at a high S/N level after a few days.

In conclusion, the ORM campaign showed that the impact was an impulsive event that affected the dust mantle of the comet. A large amount of dust was ejected into the coma in a very short time. In no more than 5 days this dust dissipated. Also, if the impactor reached the fresh-ices below the dust mantle, it did not excavate enough to expose a sufficient amount of ices to create a new region sufficiently active to be easily detected.

Further studies of the dust and gas properties will be done. The images will be analysed with the help of Monte-Carlo modelling techniques to derive the dust grain size distribution and ejected velocities. Visible and infrared spectra will be analysed to determine the gas production rates and the colour of the comet dust.

The scientific team was composed by Javier Licandro (ING and IAC), Miquel Serra Ricart (IAC), Julia de León Cruz (IAC), Noemí Pinilla Alonso (TNG and IAC), M. Teresa Capria (INAF), Rafael Barrena (IAC), Mischa Schrimer (ING), Luisa Lara-López (IAA), and Gian Paolo Tozzi (INAF, Oss. di Arcetri). ¤

Javier Licandro (licandro@ing.iac.es)



Figure 3. Sequences of R-band images obtained with ALFOSC at NOT processed with a Laplacian filtering technique to detect dust structures. Dust jets appear as black straight jets close to the nucleus, dust shells appear as white curved structures. Filtering was optimised to detect the white she<sup>l</sup> on the right. This shell indicates the day-by-day expansion of the border of the dust cloud ejecta.

## Deep Impact Observing at the Isaac Newton Telescope

Stephen C. Lowry<sup>1</sup>, Andrew J. Coates<sup>2</sup>, Alan Fitzsimmons<sup>1</sup>, Geraint H. Jones<sup>3</sup> and Carey M. Lisse<sup>4</sup>

1: Queen's University Belfast, UK; 2: Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, UK; 3: Max-Planck-Institut für Sonnensystemforschung, Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany; 4: Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, MD, USA.

n July 3rd, 2005 the NASA Deep Impact impactor probe successfully separated from its mother craft onto a trajectory that would plunge the probe into the nucleus of comet 9P/Tempel1 at a velocity of 10km s<sup>-1</sup>. Impact occurred at 05:52 UT on July 4th, and the world looked on in amazement as the first spectacular images of the impact were received at Earth\*. Meanwhile, observatories around the world and in space were closely monitoring the

comet before, during, and after the impact. This was an unprecedented coordinated observational campaign, which included over 550 whole or partial nights of observation using 73 ground-based telescopes at 35 observatories. The Deep Impact mission was designed to have much of the mission-critical science done from Earth-based telescopes. These facilities would observe the comet's evolution in wavelength regimes and timescales inaccessible to the spacecraft (The Tempel1 Observing Collaborators Team, 2005).

Comets are remnants of the early stages of the formation of our Solar System and thus contain the most pristine material from that era, as well as clues to its subsequent evolution. Whatever evidence we have into their internal composition comes either from remote observation and modelling of the dust and gases that are lifted off the surface, or from in-situ analysis of data from recent spacecraft flybys. Deep Impact was designed to provide a first look at the interior of a comet by striking the surface to expose the material underneath the opaque crust.

The target comet was 9P/Tempel 1. This is one of a class of comets known as the Jupiter-family of comets, most of which are believed to have formed in the trans-Neptunian region. These objects have low inclination orbits and typically take less than 20 years to orbit the Sun. Their orbits are strongly influenced by Jupiter, hence their name. 9P/Tempel 1 orbits the sun once every 5.5 years, and the Deep Impact encounter was scheduled to take place at perihelion, when the comet was at 1.5 and 0.9 Astronomical Units from the Sun and Earth, respectively.

## Observations at the Isaac Newton Telescope

The 2.5m Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) was used as part of the campaign. The observations from La Palma were very important for completing the time base coverage of the comet as it fell below the sky from the primary observing site at Mauna Kea, Hawaii. The INT team members include Dr. Stephen Lowry and Prof. Alan Fitzsimmons of Queen's University Belfast, Dr. Andrew Coates of the Mullard Space Science Laboratory, Dr. Geraint Jones from the Max-Planck-Institut für Sonnensystemforschung, Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany, and Dr. Carey Lisse from Johns Hopkins University, USA.

\*: http://deepimpact.jpl.nasa.gov/



Figure 1. When comet Tempel 1 came into view from La Palma, some 16 hours after the NASA Deep Impact probe struck the comet, members of the La Palma Deep Impact Collaborating Observers Team were able to start tracking the target comet with the 2.5m Isaac Newton Telescope. Both images above are a combination of 7×20 second Sloan-Gunn r' (red) filter images which isolate the dust component of the coma. The image on the left was taken on July 3rd between 21:56 and 23:03 Universal Time, about 7 hours before impact. The image on the right was taken between 22:08 and 23:56 UT on July 4th, 16 hours after probe impact which occurred on July 4th 05:52 UT. The comet was seen to increase in brightness by a factor of two —as measured in the central pixel — before and after the impact as seen from this location. Even in these images the effects of the impact can be seen by the changing coma shape between the two images. North is up and East to the left. The field of view in both images is 340×340 arcseconds, which is equivalent to ~220,000×220,000 km at the comet.







Figure 3. These dramatic images of the expanding and dissipating ejecta plume were obtained by dividing the July 4th, 5th, and 6th coadded images of the comet by the pre-impact image on July 3rd. North is up and East to the left. The plume expands mostly into the South-Western quadrant, and appears to be decelerating at a non-uniform rate. The dust particles at the leading edge of the plume, are expanding at a rate of ~210 m s<sup>-1</sup> ( $\pm$ 10%) on July 4th (measured at a Position Angle of 225°). The field of view in every image is 190×190 arcseconds, which is equivalent to ~123,000×123,000 km at the comet.

Our observing slot ran from July 1st to July 7th, 2005. A period which overlapped the Deep Impact encounter allowing us three nights pre-impact and four nights post impact observing. Our strategy was to use the Wide Field Camera to obtain image mosaics up to 5 million kilometres along the projected anti-solar direction to look for ion-tail features that may have been produced as a result of the impact. The post impact observations quickly revealed that no such ion features were present, which was subsequently confirmed by other observers performing similar programs. With this in mind we decided to focus on deep optical imaging of the central gas and dust coma through *UBVr'i'O*+ filters. We were rather fortuitous in that the observing conditions remained beautifully clear for the entire duration of the observing run.

When we imaged the comet on July 4th, about 16 hours after the impact, the comet was seen to have increased in brightness by a factor of two — as measured in the central pixel compared to the July 3rd pre-impact levels. Some dramatic changes were seen in the dust coma which are shown in Figures 1–3. The Deep Impact event did not create a new period of sustained cometary activity, and in many ways the artificial impact resembled a natural outburst (The Tempel 1 Observing Collaborators Team, 2005; Lara et al., 2005). The observed optical properties of the dust coma from this abundant data set will be modelled by our team. ¤

## References:

The Tempel 1 Observing Collaborators Team, 2005, *Science*, **310**, 265. Lara L. et al., 2005, in preparation.

Stephen Lowry (s.c.lowry@qub.ac.uk)

## The SAURON View of the Nuclear Ring in M100

Emma L. Allard<sup>1</sup>, Johan H. Knapen<sup>1</sup> and Reynier F. Peletier<sup>2</sup>

1: Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire; 2: Kapteyn Institute, University of Groningen.

N uclear rings located within the central 1–2 kiloparsecs of barred spiral galaxies are often found to contain a large fraction of the total star formation taking place in a galaxy (Knapen et al., 2000; Benedict et al., 2002). Under the influence of a bar, gas can be channelled inwards along narrow ridges where shocks develop in the gas flow. We observe these shocks as dark dustlanes along the length of the bar, as can be seen in Figure 1, a real-colour image of the spiral galaxy NGC 4321, perhaps better

known as Messier 100. The presence of the bar can set up resonances in the disk. At the location of these resonances, gas experiences no net torque, and hence can accumulate, forming a ring. Through gravitational instabilities or shocks in the gas, star formation can be triggered, and the result is a brightly star-forming nuclear ring, such as we see in M100 (Figure 1). Nuclear rings exist in some 20% of local spiral galaxies (Knapen, 2005). The process of massive star formation in these rings transforms inflowing disk gas into stars, and can thus contribute to the growth of the bulge, assisting the secular evolution of its host galaxy (Kormendy & Kennicutt, 2004).

Most of what is known about the dynamical origin and evolution of these rings stems from detailed numerical modelling, confirmed on the observational side mainly from the gas kinematics. Two-dimensional kinematics of the stars from actual observations are mostly lacking, but