excellent seeing, a FWHM of 0.1 arcsec was obtained.

Based on the La Palma seeing statistics we anticipate that suitable seeing conditions for general AO and laser beacon operation will exist about 75% of the available observing time. Periods for which ground layer turbulence dominates, and hence will be especially suitable for GLAS, occur about 25% of the time.

If all goes well, by the end of 2006 the GLAS laser system should be up-and-running. It will drastically improve sky coverage and thus boost the science use of adaptive optics. AO performance will provide attractive image quality improvement at visible wavelengths and close to diffraction limited performance in the infra-red. The GLAS laser beacon will therefore be crucial in opening new avenues for spectroscopic surveys at spatial resolutions that are considerably better than offered under natural seeing conditions.

Last but not least, the work on GLAS to date has been the effort of many people, including from the participating institutes: Don Carlos Abrams, Nikolaos Apostolakos, Richard Bassom, Chris Benn, Maarten Blanken, Diego Cano, Alan Chopping, Kevin Dee, Nigel Dipper, Eddy Elswijk, David González, Tom Gregory, Rik ter Horst, Ron Humphreys, Jan Idserda, Paul Jolley, Sjouke Kuindersma, Juan Martínez, Richard McDermid, Tim Morris, Richard Myers, Sergio Picó, Renee Pit, Johan Pragt, Simon Rees, Jürg Rey, Servando Rodríguez, Ton Schoenmaker, Jure Skvarc, Remko Stuijk, Niels Tromp, Simon Tulloch, Auke Veninga, Richard Wilson (and now just hope we have not forgotten anyone!).

René Rutten (rgmr@ing.iac.es)

CIRPASS on the William Herschel Telescope: Measuring the Global Star Formation Rate Over Most of History

Andrew Bunker\textsuperscript{1,2}, Rob Sharp\textsuperscript{1,3}, Michelle Doherty\textsuperscript{1} and Ian Parry\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1} Institute of Astronomy, Cambridge; \textsuperscript{2} School of Physics, University of Exeter; \textsuperscript{3} Anglo-Australian Observatory, Australia.

An issue which has tantalised high-redshift observers for the past decade is the star formation history of the Universe. Essentially, we want to determine the epoch when majority of stars formed. Since the legendary (perhaps notorious) “Madau-Lilly” diagram first appeared in the mid-1990s (Madau et al., 1996, Lilly et al., 1996; Pei & Fall, 1995) astronomers have puzzled over measuring the total star formation rate density over the history of the Universe. It has long been established that at modest redshifts ($z = 0.5–1$) the Universe was forming stars much more rapidly than today, perhaps even ten times as fast. However, the behaviour at redshifts beyond one has caused much consternation in the community, with various groups claiming declining/constant/rising star formation density. Even at redshifts 1–2 (our own backyard by current standards when we are routinely discovering galaxies at redshift 6) there is still an order of magnitude uncertainty in the global star formation rate (see Hopkins et al., 2001, 2005 for a recent compilation of measurements). The probable reasons for this embarrassingly large scatter in measurements are a combination of different diagnostics of star formation rate (with uncertain relative calibration) and the insidious effect of dust obscuration, which tends to hit the rest-frame UV particularly hard—a measure used at higher redshifts, where it is measured from optical photometry.

Clearly, the star formation history determinations so far have been a bit of a mess. To make a fair comparison, we need to use the same reliable instantaneous tracer of star formation...
The WHT has an unvignetted field of 15 arcminutes at the Cassegrain focus, and we used CIRPASS with 150 fibres which could be deployed over that field on individual galaxies. In fact, we allocated half the fibres to sky to improve background subtraction. Our science goal was to measure the Hα emission from galaxies at $z=0.7–1.0$, redshifted to the $J$-band at $\approx1.2\mu m$.

We used a plug plate system (the old AAT FOCAP); while outdated by the standards of modern robot fibering systems such as the WHT’s own Auto-Fib, 2dF at the AAT or the Echidna system soon to be deployed with FMOS at Subaru, our army of graduate student and postdoc “plate plungers” (Fig. 2) had lower running costs (and marginally better breakdown rates) than most robots. In practice, we only attempted two fields per night, so the 45 min fibering represented a $<10\%$ overhead.

We spent Christmas 2003 on La Palma. Our Christmas list included a sample of galaxies from the Hubble Deep Field North redshift survey of Cohen et al. (2000). We successfully detected Hα in several of these (Doherty et al., 2004, Fig. 3). We believe this to be the first published demonstration of IR MOS on high redshift galaxies.

With CIRPASS, we were able to detect Hα to $10^{-16}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 5σ in three hours, corresponding to a star formation rate (SFR) of $5M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ at $z=1$, comparable to that of the Milky Way today. Over the 2003 run, and another run in October 2004, we have targeted ~200 galaxies in three field—an order of magnitude more than previously attempted with IR spectroscopy (Glazebrook et al., 1999; Tresse et al., 2002). We have been able to determine the total star formation rate density at $z=1$ (Michelle Doherty’s PhD at the IoA, Fig. 4). We find that rest-UV studies underestimate this by about a factor of 3 (Doherty et al., in preparation).

What is the future for such work? We have set the scene for the FMOS instrument on Subaru (Lewis et al., 2003), which is partly based on the CIRPASS design and will explore large samples of star-forming galaxies at these redshifts down to faint luminosities. Both FMOS and CIRPASS are limited to wavelengths shorter than $1.8\mu m$, but new instruments such as LIRIS on WHT (Manchado et al., 1998) have a multi-object capability in the $K$-band. This will enable us to chase Hα out to $z=2.5$. Monitoring other emission lines such as Hβ, [OIII] and [OII] also
give us a handle on the dust extinction and metallicity in distant galaxies.

We have taken the first few steps towards a coherent, self-consistent picture of the evolution of the star formation rate density, measuring Hα from galaxies that existed when the Universe was half its current age. New instrumentation offers the exciting prospect of mapping similar galaxies even further back in time, and lifting the veil on obscured star formation in the early Universe.
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Pyramid Wavefront Sensor at the William Herschel Telescope: Towards Extremely Large Telescopes

S. Esposito, E. Pinna, A. Tozzi, A. Puglisi and P. Stefanini
(INAF — Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Italy)

The major technological challenge for optical astronomy in the near future is surely the design and realisation of so called Extremely Large Telescopes (ELT) (Gilmozzi, 2004; Nelson, 2000). These instruments, having a diameter in the range of 30–100 meters, will have primary and even secondary mirrors made up of segments (Andersen, 2003; Dierickx, 2004). These telescopes are supposed to work most of the time using Adaptive Optics (AO) to correct for atmospheric turbulence perturbations, achieving a previously unobtainable angular resolution of 1 milliarcsecond in the V band. To achieve this spectacular performance the mirror segments need to be co-phased, thus acting as a monolithic mirror (Chanran, 1999). Phasing the segmented primary mirror is a key activity at the Keck 10-meter optical telescope. At Keck two different sensors are successfully used for phasing (differential piston correction) and alignment (tip-tilt correction) (Chanran, 2000). This process is done before the observations as part of the telescope optical alignment. Then the primary is kept stable in the correct configuration using capacitive sensors built into the segments. Given the importance of this alignment and co-phasing issue several groups have started working on the subject in Europe (Schumaker, 2001; Yaitskova, 2005; Gonté, 2004).

The Arcetri AO group showed in 2001, using numerical simulation, that the pyramid WFS is able to do phasing and alignment of the mirror segments at the same time (Esposito, 2002). In the period 2000–2004 the AO group developed this concept, and have built a lab prototype of the pyramid co-phasing sensor.

Briefly the Pyramid wavefront sensor has been introduced by R. Ragazzoni in 1996 as a modification of the well