The awakening of BL Lacertae: observations by Fermi, Swift and the GASP-WEBT
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ABSTRACT
Since the launch of the Fermi satellite, BL Lacertae has been moderately active at $\gamma$-rays and optical frequencies until 2011 May, when the source started a series of strong flares. The exceptional optical sampling achieved by the GLAST–AGILE Support Program of the Whole Earth Blazar Telescope in collaboration with the Steward Observatory allows us to perform a detailed comparison with the daily $\gamma$-ray observations by Fermi. Discrete correlation analysis between the optical and $\gamma$-ray emission reveals correlation with a time lag of $0 \pm 1$ d, which suggests cospatiality of the corresponding jet emitting regions. A better definition of the time lag is hindered by the daily gaps in the sampling of the extremely fast flux variations. In general, optical flares present more structure and develop on longer time-scales than corresponding $\gamma$-ray flares. Observations at X-rays and at millimetre wavelengths reveal a common trend, which suggests that the region producing the mm and X-ray radiation is located downstream from the optical and $\gamma$-ray-emitting zone in the jet. The mean optical degree of polarization slightly decreases over the considered period and in general it is higher when the flux is lower. The optical electric vector polarization angle (EVPA) shows a preferred orientation of about $15^\circ$, nearly aligned with the radio core EVPA and mean jet direction. Oscillations around it increase during the 2011–2012 outburst. We investigate the effects of a geometrical interpretation of the long-term flux variability on the polarization. A helical magnetic field model predicts an evolution of the mean polarization that is in reasonable agreement with the observations. These can be fully explained by introducing slight variations in the compression factor in a transverse shock waves model.
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1 INTRODUCTION
BL Lacertae is the prototype of a class of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) that together with flat-spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs) make up the collection of highly variable objects known as ‘blazars’. The common features of all blazars is to show strong flux and spectral variability at all wavelengths and on a variety of time-scales (Wagner & Witzel 1995). They are also highly variable in optical and radio polarization (Aller, Aller & Hughes 1996; Smith 1996). The analysis of their radio map evolution reveals apparent superluminal motion of knots, which follow curved trajectories (Kellermann et al. 2004). It is believed that blazar emission comes from a relativistic plasma jet seen at a small angle to the line of sight, with consequent relativistic beaming of the radiation (Urry & Padovani 1995). The location of the emitting regions inside the jet and the structure of the jet itself are still a matter of debate. The observed low-energy radiation (from radio to UV or even X-rays in some sources) is due to synchrotron emission from relativistic electrons, which can also produce high-energy (X- and $\gamma$-ray) photons through an inverse-Compton mechanism (Konigl 1981). Cross-correlation analysis between flux variations in different bands can allow us to establish whether the emissions come from the same region in the jet (Hufnagel & Bregman 1992), and in the case that they do not, give an indication of the relative distance of the emitting zones.
To gain insight in the blazar properties, multifrequency campaigns are organized, involving many ground-based observatories as well as satellite observations (e.g. Jorstad et al. 2010; Marscher et al. 2010; Agudo et al. 2011a,b). The Whole Earth Blazar
Telescope (WEBT)\(^1\) was born in 1997 to study specific objects over a limited period of time (e.g. Villata et al. 2007; Larionov et al. 2008; Raiteri et al. 2008; Böttcher et al. 2009, and references therein).

Ten years later, the WEBT started the GLAST-AGILE Support Program (GASP), with the aim of performing low-energy monitoring of a selected sample of 28 blazars to compare with the high-energy observations of the γ-ray satellites Astrorivelatore Gamma ad Immagini LEggero (AGILE; Tavani et al. 2009) and Fermi\(^2\) (Abdo et al. 2009). Results obtained by the GASP have been reported in, e.g. Villata et al. (2008, 2009a) and Raiteri et al. (2011, 2012).

BL Lacertae is a bright blazar at low redshift (\(z = 0.069\); Miller & Hawley 1977), hosted by a giant elliptical galaxy with \(R = 15.5\) (Scarpa et al. 2000). It has already been the subject of several multiwavelength studies carried out by the WEBT/GASP (Villata et al. 2002, 2004a,b, 2009b; Bach et al. 2006; Papadakis, Villata & Raiteri 2007; Raiteri et al. 2009, 2010; Larionov, Villata & Raiteri 2010). In this new paper, we analyse the γ-ray, X-ray, UV, optical and millimetric behaviour of BL Lacertae from the start of the GASP observations of this object, in early 2008, through the period of strong activity in 2011–2012, until 2012 October 31, when the source came back to an optical and γ-ray ‘quiescent’ state. In a forthcoming paper, we will study the optical-to-radio historical flux and spectral behaviour of the source since the birth of the WEBT collaboration in 1997 (Raiteri et al., in preparation, Paper II).

2 OPTICAL PHOTOMETRY

The ground-based optical photometry presented in this paper was obtained by the GASP collaboration with the contribution of the Steward Observatory programme in support of the Fermi γ-ray telescope\(^3\) (Smith et al. 2009). Fig. 1 shows the \(R\)-band light curve of BL Lacertae from the start of the GASP observations of this source, on 2008 February 28 (JD = 245 4524.6), up to 2012 October 31 (JD = 245 6232.3). The data points represent observed magnitudes, with no correction for the Galactic extinction and host-galaxy contribution (see below). Calibration of the source magnitude was performed with respect to Stars B, C and H by Fiorucci & Tosti (1996).

Data up to JD \(\sim 2454900\) have partially been presented in Raiteri et al. (2010). The new observations featured in this paper were provided by the following observatories: Abastumani, AstroCamp, Belogradchik, Calar Alto,\(^4\) Crimean, Galaxy View, Kitt Peak (MDM), Lowell (Perkins), Lulin, Mt Maidanak, New Mexico Skies, ROVOR, Roque de los Muchachos (KVA and Liverpool), Rozhen, Sabadell, San Pedro Martir, Skinakas, St Petersburg, Steward (Bok and Kuiper), Talmassons, Teide (IAC80) and Tijarafe.

The light curve shown in Fig. 1 was obtained after a careful analysis, where the different data sets were assembled, checked and cleaned for offsets and outliers (a detailed description of the process will be given in Paper II), and includes 10 103 data points. Offsets caused by partial inclusion of the host galaxy were minimized by adopting the same prescriptions for the photometry: an aperture radius of 8 arcsec for the source and reference stars, and an annulus of 10 and 16 arcsec radii centred on them for the background. This choice of standard aperture includes 60 per cent of the total flux from the host galaxy, corresponding to a flux density of 2.54 mJy in the Cousins’ \(R\) band (Raiteri et al. 2010). The \(R\)-band flux

---

\(^1\)http://www.oato.inaf.it/blazars/webt/

\(^2\)Formerly GLAST.

\(^3\)http://james.as.arizona.edu/~psmith/Fermi

\(^4\)Calar Alto data was acquired as part of the MAPCAT project: http://www.iaa.es/~iagudo/research/MAPCAT.
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Figure 2. From top to bottom. (a) Integrated flux light curve of BL Lacertae in the 0.1–100 GeV energy range obtained during 2008 August 4–2012 October 31 with 7-d time bins. Arrows refer to 2σ upper limits on the source flux. (b) Swift-XRT unabsorbed flux densities at 1 keV (blue dots) and RXTE-PCA count rate in the 2–10 keV range (cyan plus signs). (c) Swift-UVOT unabsorbed flux densities in the w1 band; one observation performed by XMM–Newton in 2008 is also shown (from Raiteri et al. 2010). (d) R-band flux densities obtained from the magnitudes shown in Fig. 1 after correction for Galactic extinction and host galaxy contribution. The black crosses represent the result of a weekly binning. (e) Millimetre light curve built with data at 230 GHz (green dots) and 345 GHz (violet plus signs) acquired at the SMA as well as data taken at 230 GHz (purple dots) and 86 GHz (orange crosses) with the 30-m IRAM telescope on Pico Veleta. In all panels, the yellow stripes indicate the periods considered for the γ-ray spectral analysis in Section 6.

Strong variability characterises the entire period on a large variety of time-scales. In particular, the outburst of 2011–2012 appears as a period of about 500 d where the source magnitude oscillated in the range R = 12.57–14.31. Very rapid flux changes can occur on time-scales of much less than a day, as already noticed in previous works (e.g. Raiteri et al. 2009). A detailed analysis of variability on the whole optical light curves built with GASP-WEBT observations will be performed in Paper II.

3 MILLIMETRE OBSERVATIONS

Millimetre observations were performed at the Submillimeter Array (SMA) and at the IRAM 30-m telescope.

Data at 230 GHz (1.3 mm) and 345 GHz (870 μm) were obtained at the SMA near the summit of Mauna Kea (Hawaii). BL Lacertae densities of BL Lacertae, corrected for both a Galactic extinction of 0.88 mag and the above host-galaxy contribution, are shown in Fig. 2.

IRAM 30-m data were acquired as part of the POLAMI (Polarimetric AGN Monitoring with the IRAM 30-m Telescope) and MAPI (Monitoring AGN with Polarimetry at the IRAM 30-m Telescope) programmes.
is included in an ongoing monitoring programme at the SMA to determine the fluxes of compact extragalactic radio sources that can be used as calibrators at mm/submm wavelengths (Gurwell et al. 2007). Available potential calibrators are observed for 3–5 min, and the measured source signal strength calibrated against known standards, typically Solar system objects (Titan, Uranus, Neptune or Callisto). Despite the short integration time, the flux calibration error is dominated by systematic effects such as pointing or phase instability, for sources greater than about 250 mJy, such as BL Lactae. Data from regular science tracks are also reduced to obtain flux measurements from time to time, and these data often are taken over several hours. Data from this monitoring programme are updated regularly and are available at the SMA website.6 BL Lac was also observed as part of two dedicated programmes to monitor its flux density (PI: Wrehrle), and data from those programmes through 2012 October 31 are included here.

The IRAM 30-m telescope (in Granada, Spain) observed simultaneously at 86.24 GHz (3.5 mm), and 228.93 GHz (1.3 mm) by making use of the EMIR090, and EMIR230 pairs of orthogonally linearly polarized heterodyne receivers connected to the XPOL photopolarimeter (Thum et al. 2008). For our observations, a bandwidth of 640 MHz was used for each of the EMIR090 receivers, whereas 260 MHz were used for the 228.93 GHz measurements with EMIR230. Every IRAM 30-m measurement was preceded by a cross-scan pointing of the telescope and a 3.5 mm and a 1.3 mm calibration. Such measurements consisted of a series of wobbler switching on–offs with total integration times of 4–8 min, depending on the total flux density of the source and atmospheric conditions. Measurements of Mars and/or Uranus were obtained, at least once, essentially for every observing epoch in order to estimate and subtract residual instrumental polarization, and to calibrate the absolute total flux density scale. Data reduction was performed following the procedures described in Agudo et al. (2006, 2010). The resulting data were averaged for those observing epochs on which more than one measurement was obtained.

The mm light curve at 230 GHz built with IRAM and SMA data, as well as the light curves at 86 GHz from IRAM and at 345 GHz from the SMA are plotted in the bottom panel of Fig. 2.

4 Swift-UVOT

The Swift satellite carries a 30-cm Ultraviolet/Optical telescope (UVOT; Roming et al. 2005) that can acquire data in six filters: v, b and u in the optical band; uvw1, uvm2 and uvw2 in the ultraviolet. We reduced the BL Lac observations with the HEASOFT package version 6.12 and the 20120606 release of the Swift/UVOT calibration data base CALDB at NASA’s High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center (HEASARC).7 Multiple observations in the same filter at the same epoch were first summed with the task uvotimsum and then processed with uvotsource. Source counts were extracted from a circular region centred on the source with 5 arcsec radius. Background counts were derived from an annular region centred on the source with 10 and 16 arcsec radii.

The UVOT light curves in the period considered here are shown in Fig. 3, both as observed magnitudes (left) and as intrinsic flux densities (right). The latter have been obtained by correcting for the Galactic extinction and by subtracting the host galaxy contribution, as explained below. We calculated a Galactic extinction of 1.09, 1.44, 1.73, 2.52, 3.05 and 2.91 mag in the v, b, u, uvw1, uvm2 and uvw2 bands, respectively, by convolving the new effective areas of the UVOT filters by Breeveld et al. (2011) with the mean Galactic extinction laws by Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989). Following Raiteri et al. (2010), we assumed a flux density of 2.89, 1.30 and 0.36 mJy for the host galaxy in the v, b and u bands. In the UV, we considered the 13 Gyr elliptical galaxy spectral template by Silva et al. (1998), and estimated a host galaxy flux density of 0.026, 0.020 and 0.017 mJy in the uvw1, uvm2 and uvw2 bands. The host galaxy contribution contaminating the BL Lac UVOT photometry is about 50 per cent of the whole galaxy flux, so in the UV it is negligible when compared to the source flux, even in faint states.

As can be seen from Fig. 3, the variability amplitude increases with frequency: the difference between the maximum and minimum magnitude is 2.02, 2.20, 2.37, 2.59, 2.59 and 2.87 mag from the v to the uvw2 band, confirming the behaviour already observed in this source (e.g. Raiteri et al. 2010) and, in general, in BL Lac objects. Note that this trend does not depend on the presence of the host galaxy, because it remains also when the host galaxy contribution is removed. Indeed, the ratio between the maximum and minimum intrinsic flux density is 8.6, 9.2, 9.6, 11.0, 10.9 and 14.3 going from the v to the uvw2 band. Moreover, while in FSRQ the presence of thermal radiation from the accretion disc can imply smaller flux variability towards the UV, here its likely contribution (Raiteri et al. 2009, 2010; Capetti, Raiteri & Buttiglione 2010) is probably not strong enough to contrast the typical behaviour of the synchrotron emission.

The unabsorbed uvw1 flux densities are also plotted in Fig. 2 for a comparison with other bands.

5 Swift-XRT

We processed the X-ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) data with the HEASOFT package version 6.12 and the CALDB XRT calibration files updated 20120209. The task xrtpipeline was launched with standard screening criteria. Only observations performed in pointing mode and with more than 50 counts were selected for further analysis. In the time period considered in this paper, we were left with 117 observations in photon counting (PC) mode.

All PC observations with mean rate greater than 0.5 cts s−1 were checked for pile-up with the task XIMAGE.8 The wings of the source point spread function (PSF) were modelled with the expected PSF of XRT, i.e. a King function of the type: \( \text{PSF}(r) = \left[ 1 + (r/5.8) \right]^{-1.55} \) (Moretti et al. 2005). The fit was then extrapolated to the inner region and compared to the data points. The radius below which the model overproduces the data defines the region where pile-up is a problem.

Source counts were extracted with the xselect task from a circular region of 30 pixel (71 arcsec) radius centred on the source, and background counts from a surrounding annulus of 50 and 70 pixel radii, respectively. For piled-up observations, we excluded from the source extraction region the inner circle of 3 pixel radius (~7 arcsec).

The loss of counts caused by the inner hole in the source counts extraction region is corrected by the ancillary response file, which

---

6 http://sma1.sma.hawaii.edu/callist/callist.html
7 http://heasarc.nasa.gov/
8 http://www.swift.ac.uk/analysis/xrt/pileup.php
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Figure 3. Light curves of BL Lacertae at optical and UV frequencies obtained from the observations of the UVOT instrument onboard the Swift satellite. Left: observed magnitudes. Right: flux densities in mJy, after correction for the Galactic extinction and subtraction of the host-galaxy contribution. In all plots, the numbers in the upper left indicate the maximum and minimum brightness levels.

also takes account of vignetting and bad pixels. This file is obtained through the xrtmkarf task with PSF correction set to yes and using the exposure map created by xrtpipeline. We adopted version 011 of the response matrix available in CALDB.

The source spectra were grouped with the task grppha and then analysed in the 0.3–10 keV energy range with the xspec task, using both the Cash and $\chi^2$ statistics. In the latter case, the spectra were previously binned to have a minimum of 20 counts in each bin. Spectra were fitted with an absorbed power law. Following Raiteri et al. (2009, 2010), we adopted a Galactic hydrogen column density (including the contribution by a molecular cloud towards BL Lac, see Liszt & Lucas 1998) of $N_H = 3.4 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ and set abundances for photoelectric absorption according to Wilms, Allen & McCray (2000).

Fig. 4 displays the photon index $\Gamma$ as a function of the flux density at 1 keV. The $\Gamma$ values are scattered between 1.32 (hard spectrum) and 2.37 (soft spectrum) without correlation with the flux. The XRT data (unabsorbed flux densities at 1 keV) are plotted in Fig. 2, where they are compared to the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) Proportional Counter Array (PCA) light curve (cts s$^{-1}$ in

Figure 4. The X-ray photon index $\Gamma$ as a function of the unabsorbed flux density at 1 keV when a power-law model with Galactic absorption fixed to $N_H = 3.4 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ is applied to the XRT spectra.
the 2–10 keV energy range) publicly available through the ISDC-HEAVENS interface.\(^9\)

### 6 Fermi-LAT

The Fermi-Large Area Telescope (LAT) is a pair-conversion telescope operating from 20 MeV to >300 GeV. It has a large peak effective area (∼8000 cm\(^2\) for 1 GeV photons), an energy resolution of typically ∼10 per cent, and a field of view of about 2.4 sr with an angular resolution (68 per cent containment angle) better than 1° for energies above 1 GeV. Further details about the Fermi-LAT are given in Atwood et al. (2009).

The LAT data reported in this paper were collected from 2008 August 4 (JD = 245 4683) to 2012 October 31 (JD = 245 6232). During this time the Fermi spacecraft operated almost entirely in survey mode. The analysis was performed with the SCIENCETOOLS software package version v9r27p1. The LAT data were extracted using an unbinned maximum likelihood method implemented in the Science tool gtlike. A Galactic diffuse emission model and isotropic component, which is the sum of an exponential and isotropic background, were used to model the background.\(^10\) The normalizations of both components in the background model were allowed to vary freely during the spectral fitting.

We evaluated the significance of the \(\gamma\)-ray signal from the sources by means of the Test Statistics \(TS = 2\Delta\log(\text{likelihood})\) between models with and without the source (Mattson et al. 1996). For the spectral modelling of BL Lacertae, we adopted a log-parabola, \(dN/dE \propto (E/E_0)^{-\alpha - \beta \log(E/E_0)}\) (Landau et al. 1986; Massaro et al. 2004), as done in the Second Fermi Gamma-ray LAT (2FGL) catalogue (Nolan et al. 2012). The source model used in gtlike includes all the point sources from the 2FGL catalogue that fall within 20° from our target. The spectra of these sources were parametrized by power-law functions, \(dN/dE \propto (E/E_0)^{-\gamma}\), except for 2FGL J2111.3+4605, 2FGL J2117.5+3730, 2FGL J2139.8+4714, 2FGL J2215.7+5135 and 2FGL J2236.4+2828, for which we used a log-parabola as in the 2FGL catalogue. A first maximum likelihood was performed to remove from the model the sources having \(TS > 25\) and/or the predicted number of counts based on the fitted model \(N_{\text{pred}} < 10\). A second maximum likelihood was performed on the updated source model. In the fitting procedure, both the normalization factors and the photon indices of the sources within 10° from BL Lac were left as free parameters. For the sources located between 10° and 20°, we kept the normalization and the photon index fixed to the values of the 2FGL catalogue.

Integrating over the entire period 2008 August 4–2012 October 31 the fit yielded \(TS = 13.913\) in the 0.1–100 GeV energy range, with an integrated average flux of \((25.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-8}\) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). A spectral slope \(\alpha = 2.13 \pm 0.02\) at the reference energy \(E_0 = 388.5\) MeV, and a curvature parameter around the peak \(\beta = 0.07 \pm 0.01\). The results of the spectral analysis for selected periods during the 2011–2012 outburst are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 5. Period 0 includes all the outburst phase, from 2011 May 1 to 2012 August 31; the average apparent isotropic \(\gamma\)-ray luminosity in this period is \(2.8 \times 10^{39}\) erg s\(^{-1}\). Periods from 1 to 6 were chosen by considering weekly bins with \(TS > 500\) or by summing subsequent bins with \(TS > 300\) and flux greater than \(60 \times 10^{-8}\) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\).

Fig. 2 shows the \(\gamma\)-ray light curve for the entire period using a log-parabola model and 1-week time bins. For each time bin the spectral parameters of BL Lacertae and all sources within 10° from it were frozen to the values resulting from the likelihood analysis over the entire period. If \(TS < 5\), the values of the flux were replaced by the 2\(\sigma\) upper limits. The systematic uncertainty on the flux is energy dependent: it amounts to 10 per cent at 100 MeV, decreasing to 5 per cent at 560 MeV and increasing to 10 per cent above 10 GeV (Ackermann et al. 2012). By means of the gt\_arcprob tool, we estimated that the highest-energy photon emitted by BL Lac was observed on 2012 March 9 at distance of 0.015 from the source with an energy of 74.3 GeV.

A second light curve focused on the period 2011 May 1–2012 August 31 was built with 1-d time bins. We used 2- and 6-h time bins for the periods with higher statistics. These daily and sub-daily light curves are shown in Figs 6–10, where they are compared with the optical flux.

### 7 Spectral Energy Distribution

The spectral energy distribution of BL Lacertae from the millimetric band to the \(\gamma\)-rays is shown in Fig. 5 for the periods listed in Table 1 and highlighted by yellow stripes in Fig. 2. The Fermi-LAT spectrum corresponding to period 0, including the whole 2011–2012 outburst, is shown in all panels for comparison. The dispersion of the \(\gamma\) spectra is due to the fact that besides the best fit we also show the fits obtained with the lower and upper limits on the flux.

---

\(^9\) http://www.isdc.unige.ch/heavens/

\(^10\) http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/BackgroundModels.html
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Figure 5. Spectral energy distribution of BL Lacertae from the millimetre band to the $\gamma$-rays for the periods listed in Table 1. In each panel, the period number is indicated in the upper left, and the $\gamma$-ray spectrum of period 0, including the whole outburst, is plotted in grey as a reference. The spectral model used in $\gamma$-rays is a log-parabola $dN/dE \propto (E/E_0)^{-\alpha - \beta \log(E/E_0)}$, with the reference energy $E_0$ fixed to 388.5 MeV as in the 2FGL catalogue. The dispersion in the $\gamma$ spectrum is the consequence of plotting fits obtained with upper and lower limits of normalization and of the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to display the uncertainties on both flux and spectral shape. When contemporaneous Swift data are available, we show the corresponding X-ray power-law spectrum and optical–UV data or, in case there are multiple observations, the faintest (green) and brightest (red) states. In the $R$ band, there are usually many data within each considered period; hence, we plot the whole range of flux values. Millimetre data are also available for all epochs but one.

Figure 6. Top: daily (blue crosses) and weekly (black dots) binned $\gamma$-ray light curve of BL Lacertae during the 2011–2012 outburst. Bottom: optical light curve in the same period.

Figure 7. A zoom on the $\gamma$-ray (top) and optical (bottom) light curves at the culmination of the 2012 outburst, including the two strongest $\gamma$-ray flares. Sub-daily binned $\gamma$-ray fluxes (green diamonds) are superposed to the daily binned ones (blue crosses).

normalization, and on the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$. This illustrates the uncertainties involved.

Simultaneous Swift data are available for periods 1, 2, 3 and 5. In particular, only one observation was performed in period 3, while two were done in periods 2 and 5 (see Fig. 2). During period 1 there were six observations, so we show the XRT and UVOT spectra corresponding to the minimum and maximum flux levels. We notice that the UV spectra do not show any hint for the presence of a UV
bump, in contrast to what was found by Raiteri et al. (2010) during a low state. In that paper, we noticed that the UVOT calibration by Poole et al. (2008) was not suitable for a very red object like BL Lacertae, and performed a new calibration for this source. The result was an upturn of the spectrum in the UV, in agreement with data from the OM instrument onboard XMM–Newton. The new calibration by Breeveld et al. (2011) implemented in the UVOT reduction software is not appropriate for very red objects too. Hence, in principle, we should proceed with a new re-calibration. However, the high state of BL Lacertae in 2011–2012 makes a search for a possible bump signature likely hopeless, so we neglected this point.

Many R-band data were acquired in each considered period (apart from period 4, where just one datum is available because of the proximity to solar conjunction), showing large flux variation. In Fig. 5, we plot the whole range of optical flux values. Finally, millimetre observations were performed in all periods but one. The γ-ray spectrum of BL Lacertae shows a remarkable variability, suggesting that the inverse-Compton peak shifts from the MeV (periods 1 and 3) to the GeV (periods 2, 4, 5 and 6) range, but most of the time it is in the MeV domain (period 0). In period 1, the two UV and X-ray spectra are acquired on JD ≈ 245 5705.2 (low state) and JD ≈ 245 5710.8 (high state); they display a noticeable variability in both flux and spectral shape in only 5.6 d. Moreover, we notice an optical–UV spectral steepening in the fainter state, corresponding to an X-ray spectral hardening.

8 COMPARISON BETWEEN γ-RAY AND OPTICAL FLUX VARIATIONS

The results of the first 18 months of Fermi observations of BL Lacertae were presented by Abdo et al. (2011). The source was in a low state, and no correlation between the γ-ray and optical fluxes was found.

If we compare the weekly binned γ and optical light curves in Fig. 2, we see that the ratio between the maximum and minimum flux level in γ-rays is about 15, while in the optical it is about 4, i.e. the γ-ray flux variability goes roughly as the square of the optical one. This is what is predicted by the synchrotron-self-Compton (SSC) theory for the origin of the γ-ray photons, according to which these are produced by inverse-Compton scattering of synchrotron photons created in the jet off their parent relativistic electrons (e.g. Konigl 1981; Maraschi, Ghisellini & Celotti 1992).

The long-term γ-ray and optical light curves displayed in Fig. 2 confirm that in general the fluxes at these two frequencies are correlated. Most noticeably, the source clearly brightened in both γ and optical bands after about 2011.3. However, this correlation is not straightforward, as can be inferred from the more detailed Figs 6–10. In particular, Fig. 7 shows the culmination of the 2012 outburst, with the highest γ-ray peaks, while Figs 8–10 zoom into the periods of the major optical flares. We notice that the strongest observed γ-ray flare at JD = 245 6084 does not correspond to the strongest observed optical flare, which peaked at JD = 245 6131–32. As for possible delays of flux variations in one band with respect to those in the other band, the situation around JD = 245 5710 (Fig. 8) appears confused, with many optical peaks either preceding or following those in γ-rays. An optical peak precedes the major γ-ray flare at JD = 245 6084 by about 3 d (Fig. 7), but the optical light curve is not sampled enough in that period to rule out that we missed a second optical flare closer in time to the γ flare. The γ-ray and optical events at JD = 245 6131–32 (Fig. 9) seem to be strictly simultaneous, assuming that the actual optical peak was missed and that we are only seeing the sharp wings of the optical flare. As for the flare at JD = 245 6163–64 (Fig. 10), the observed optical peaks appear to lead the γ-ray peak by at least 12 h, but we could have missed an optical peak simultaneous to the γ-ray maximum.

We analysed the γ-optical cross-correlation with the discrete correlation function (DCF; Edelson & Krolik 1988; Hufnagel & Bregman 1992), which was specifically designed for unevenly
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9 COMPARISON BETWEEN OPTICAL/γ AND MM/X-RAY FLUX VARIATIONS

Fig. 2 shows that the mm flux density is steadily increasing during the period considered in this paper, a feature that is not present in the optical and γ-ray light curves. A series of flares starts in late 2011, i.e. about 5 months after the beginning of the optical/γ-ray outburst. The X-ray light curve is not well sampled in 2012, nevertheless a slow growth of the flux base level over the whole period can be recognized. Some hints of flaring in the X-rays seem to be present at the start of the optical/γ-ray activity, but it becomes clearer later, in agreement with the source behaviour at mm wavelengths. In particular, both the X-ray and mm flux densities reach the maximum value at the end of the period, when the γ and optical fluxes are instead low. If confirmed, a mm–X-ray correlation with no time delay would imply that both emissions come from the same jet zone and that the X-ray radiation is at least in part the result of an inverse-Compton process on the mm photons, as the hard X-ray spectrum suggests.

A DCF analysis on the optical/γ and mm flux densities indicates a good correlation (DCFpeak ~ 0.8), with a time lag of the mm flux variations relative to the optical/γ ones of τ = 120–150 d. The possible scenario therefore is that the radiation we see comes from an inhomogeneous jet, where the mm and X-ray photons are emitted from a region located downstream from that producing the optical and γ-ray radiation.

10 POLARIZATION

Blazar emission is characterized by variable degree of linear polarization P and electric vector polarization angle (EVPA; Smith 1996). In several cases, the EVPA was observed to undergo wide rotations during active phases. This is also the case of BL Lacertae, both in the radio (Aller, Hodge & Aller 1981) and optical (Sillanpää et al. 1993; Marscher et al. 2008) bands.

Photopolarimetric observations of BL Lacertae were performed by Sillanpää et al. (1993) in 1989, during an outburst, and in 1990. They noticed considerable variability of both P and EVPA during the outburst and suggested two possible interpretations: a jet pointing nearly towards us with helical magnetic field, or the interplay of a stable jet component with a linearly rotating component.

An analysis of the long-term (1969–1991) optical polarization behaviour of BL Lacertae was presented by Hagen-Thorn et al. (2002). They found a preferred polarization direction at EVPA ≈ 20° and that P in general was higher when the flux was lower and the EVPA was near the preferred value. They interpreted the polarization variability as due to the superposition of new components with randomly distributed polarization directions on a persistent, underlying source of polarized radiation with P = 9.2 per cent and EVPA = 24°. The new components lead to a flux increase, but their different EVPAs make the polarizations cancel one another.

When analysing the optical polarimetric behaviour of BL Lacertae around the late 2005 outburst, Marscher et al. (2008) discovered a rotation of the EVPA of 240°, in the middle of which the degree of polarization dropped to a minimum. They inferred that the event was caused by the propagation of a shock wave down the jet along a spiral streamline.

We collected 1014 polarization data in the R-band from the Calar Alto, Crimean, Lowell (Perkins), Steward (Bok and Kuiper) and St Petersburg observatories. Details on the data acquisition and reduction procedures can be found in Jorstad et al. (2010), Larionov et al. (2008) and Smith et al. (2003). Fig. 12 shows P and EVPA compared to both the R-band flux densities and 0.1–100 GeV γ-ray fluxes. Cubic spline interpolations through the 60-d binned light and polarization curves are drawn to highlight the long-term behaviour. They show that in average P is slowly decreasing during the whole period and that it is higher when the optical and γ-ray fluxes are

---

11 Note that the individual fluxes are associated with the central time of their bin.

12 The X-ray and mm brightening continued also after the end of the period considered in this paper (see Wehrle et al. 2012 and Wehrle et al., in preparation).
low, as found by Hagen-Thorn et al. (2002). The mean value of $P$ is about 11 per cent, but with variations between 0.4 and 45 per cent. In particular, the highest values of $P$ are reached during a very fast spike on JD = 245 5532 that has no counterpart in either optical or $\gamma$-ray flux. Another polarization peak occurred on JD = 245 5706, a few days after the onset of the optical and $\gamma$-ray outburst of 2011–2012.

The analysis of the EVPA is complicated by the $\pm 180^\circ$ ambiguity. To solve for this, we proceeded as follows. We first assembled the various data sets asking that all angles were comprised between $-90^\circ$ and $+90^\circ$. We then calculated the average polarization angle, $\langle \text{EVPA} \rangle$, and iterated the data assembling by asking that all points are between $\langle \text{EVPA} \rangle - 90^\circ$ and $\langle \text{EVPA} \rangle + 90^\circ$ until we reach a stable value of $\langle \text{EVPA} \rangle$, which is about $15.3^\circ$. The resulting angles are plotted in the fourth panel of Fig. 12. Our average optical EVPA is very similar to the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) radio core EVPA of $13^\circ$ estimated by Lister et al. (2011). According to the same authors, the mean VLBA jet direction of BL Lacertae is $-171^\circ$, in agreement with earlier VLBI results ($-170^\circ$) by Gabuzda & Cawthorne (2000). This means that the optical EVPA is nearly aligned with the radio core EVPA and jet direction.

Spurious jumps of the EVPA due to the $\pm 180^\circ$ ambiguity may be corrected by requiring that whenever subsequent points that are separated by less than $\Delta t$ imply angular variations greater than $\Delta \text{EVPA}$, they can be shifted by $\pm 180^\circ$ in order to minimize the variation. The choice of $\Delta t$ and of $\Delta \text{EVPA}$ is rather arbitrary.
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Figure 13. EVPA as a function of the percentage of polarization, P. The points cluster around a mean EVPA value of 15.3.

From the analysis of Marscher et al. (2008), we know that we can have variations as large as about 50° a day, so we applied the ±180° correction when $\Delta$EVPA/$\Delta$t > 50° d$^{-1}$. The EVPA plot in the bottom panel of Fig. 12 is the result of this procedure.

We notice that points cluster around the mean value (see also Fig. 13) and that the dispersion around the mean is small before the onset of the 2011–2012 outburst, while the EVPA undergoes much wider changes during the outburst, and this is a general feature, independent of the adopted solution for the ±180° ambiguity.

During the very fast spike in P on JD = 245 5532 the polarization angle was close to its mean value, but this is not the case for the JD = 245 5706 event, which was preceded by a rotation of the EVPA of about 180° in ∼2 d. This noticeable EVPA variation occurred at the start of the optical outburst. The other large EVPA rotations (around JD = 245 5730, JD = 245 5975 and JD = 245 6040) do not correspond to optical or γ-ray events, and are most likely spurious effects, produced by our arbitrary way of treating the ±180° ambiguity.

A very rapid TeV flare was detected by the Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System (VERITAS) on 2011 June 28 (Arlen et al. 2013). This was accompanied by changes of the radio and optical polarization angles and was associated with the emergence of a new superluminal knot in the VLBA radio maps. From our data, we notice that at the time when the rapid TeV γ-ray flare was detected by VERITAS (2011 June 28, JD = 245 5740.95), a change in the EVPA of about 90° in 1 d was observed, from 40° on JD = 245 5740.88 to 71° on JD = 245 5741.50, and to 129° on JD = 245 5741.89. The event was also preceded by a small optical flare (36.86 mJy on JD = 245 5740.48), and was followed by a fast jump in polarization degree (from about 4 per cent on JD = 245 5740.88 to 12 per cent on JD = 245 5741.50 and then back to 4 per cent on JD = 245 5741.89). In contrast, the GeV γ-ray flux did not show appreciable variations in the same period.

In Fig. 14, we show P as a function of the R-band flux density; in general, the plot confirms the trend of a decreasing polarization with increasing flux noticed above. In particular, this behaviour is marked by the tangled black line, which was obtained by the cubic spline interpolations to the 60-d binned $F_R$ and P curves shown in Fig. 12. The blue and red lines represent the results of the helical magnetic field and transverse shock wave models shown in Fig. 16. In the latter, case a degree of compression of the shock wave $\eta \approx 1.314$ has been chosen.

10.1 Near-infrared polarimetry

In 2011 October, few near-infrared polarimetric observations of BL Lacertae were collected using the instrument Long-slit Intermediate Resolution Infrared Spectrograph (LIRIS; Manchado et al. 2004) attached at the 4.2 m William Herschel Telescope (La Palma). LIRIS is a near-infrared public instrument with imaging and spectroscopy capabilities. Polarimetry mode is based on the use of wedged double Wollaston devices (Oliva 1997). Data were reduced using a dedicated package developed within IRAF (lirisdr).

The observations of BL Lacertae were part of a more extensive program gathering near-infrared polarimetry of a sample of blazars. Fig. 15 shows the polarization percentage (top) and the EVPA (bottom) as a function of time in the period of the LIRIS observations, comparing the LIRIS J and Ks data to those in the R band. There is good agreement between the measurements in bands J and Ks, and they also seem to fit the trend of P and EVPA traced by the optical data. The only remarkable difference is the EVPA
at JD = 245 5849, where the optical point is about 30° far from the near-infrared point, but with a large uncertainty. We conclude that no significant wavelength dependence of $P$ was detected in the considered period, with the exception of an EVPA measurement.

11 GEOMETRICAL INTERPRETATIONS OF THE FLUX AND POLARIZATION VARIABILITY

Evidence suggests that the relativistic jets in blazars are not straight and steady structures (e.g. Kellermann et al. 2004; Marscher & Jorstad 2011; Bloom, Fromm & Ros 2013). Indeed, instabilities may cause bends in the jet. The jet may rotate because it is tied to the central black hole or accretion disc, or because the central engine is a binary black hole system (Villata et al. 1998), and thus it may assume a rotating helical structure (Villata & Raiteri 1999). As a consequence, we may expect that different emitting regions in the jet have different alignments with the line of sight, which can change in time. Because of the relativistic plasma motion, these changing viewing angles by themselves imply variability (by different amounts at different frequencies), even in the absence of intrinsic flux changes.

Indeed, the emission from a relativistic plasma is Doppler boosted, so that the observed flux density $F_\nu(v) = \delta^{n+1} F'_\nu(v)$, where primed quantities refer to the jet rest frame, $\alpha$ is the intrinsic spectral index, $F'_\nu(v') \propto (v')^{-\alpha}$, and $n = 2$ for a smooth, continuous jet (e.g. Urry & Padovani 1995). The Doppler factor, $\delta = \Gamma_{\|}(1 - \beta \cos \theta)^{-1}$, depends on both the bulk Lorentz factor of the plasma, $\Gamma_{\|} = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}$, where $\beta$ is the flow velocity normalized to the speed of light, and the viewing angle $\theta$. Therefore, the observed flux can show variability if $\Gamma_{\|}$ or $\theta$ change, even if the intrinsic flux remains steady. In several blazar studies (e.g. Villata et al. 2002; Ostorero, Villata & Raiteri 2004; Raiteri et al. 2011, 2012), we investigated the consequences of assuming that at least the long-term flux variability may be due to geometrical reasons. We imagine that the emitting jet is a dynamic structure, where different emitting regions can have different orientations with respect to the line of sight, which can also change in time. The long-term trend of the optical flux density of BL Lacertae can be represented by the cubic spline interpolation through the 60-d binned $R$-band light curve shown in Fig. 12. Adopting $\alpha = 1$, we can derive the Doppler factor by $\delta = \delta_{\text{max}}(F/F_{\text{max}})^{1/3}$, where $\delta_{\text{max}}$ is obtained by fixing $\Gamma_{\|} = 7$ from Jorstad et al. (2005) and $\theta_{\text{min}} = 2^\circ$ from Lariovin et al. (2010). The behaviour of $\delta$ is shown in Fig. 16; its value ranges from 8.3 to 13.2. From the definition of $\delta$ we can then derive $\theta$ inside the assumed minimum value of $2^\circ$ and $6.8^\circ$.

11.1 Helical magnetic fields

We now investigate what would be the implications of this geometric variability scenario on the observed polarization. Lyutikov, Pariev & Gabuzda (2005) calculated the polarization for optically thin synchrotron emission from relativistic jets with helical magnetic fields. For some of the jet structures they examined, the behaviour of the polarization degree can be approximated as

$$P = P_{\text{max}} \sin^2 \theta',$$

13 We refer to the diffuse and reverse-field pinch cases, with number density of relativistic particles scaling according to the square of the intrinsic magnetic field (see figs 11c and 12c in Lyutikov et al. 2005).

with $P_{\text{max}} \approx 20$ per cent. The angle $\theta'$ is the viewing angle in the jet rest frame, which is related to the observed angle $\theta$ through the Lorentz transformation

$$\sin \theta' = \frac{\sin \theta}{\Gamma_{\|}(1 - \beta \cos \theta)}.$$

Fig. 16 shows the polarization behaviour predicted by this model, $P_{\text{hel}}$, compared with the long-term behaviour of the observed polarization, $P_{\text{obs}}$, represented by the cubic spline interpolation through the 60-d binned polarization curve shown in Fig. 12. Although the agreement is not perfect, it is impressive how the model prediction can reproduce the level of observed polarization and the main variations without introducing any free parameter. In particular, the amplitude of variation is $\Delta P \sim 15$ per cent in both cases. This model also implies a decreasing $P$ for increasing $F_{\|}$ (see Fig. 14).

We considered the consequences of varying the value of $\Gamma_{\|}$ inside the uncertainty given by Jorstad et al. (2005) ($\pm 1.8$) and of small changes in $\theta_{\text{min}}$. Lowering $\Gamma_{\|}$ or $\theta_{\text{min}}$ would amplify the variations towards low $P$ values, while higher values of $\Gamma_{\|}$ or $\theta_{\text{min}}$ would produce higher degrees of polarization with a smaller range of variability.

11.2 Transverse shock waves

In Raiteri et al. (2012), we analysed the polarization behaviour of the FSRQ 4C 38.41, adopting the transverse shock wave model by Hughes, Aller & Aller (1985), coupled with the geometrical interpretation of the flux variations described above.

Transverse shock waves propagate downstream the jet, affecting the observed polarization as

$$P \approx P_0 \frac{(1 - \eta^{-2}) \sin^2 \theta'}{2 - (1 - \eta^{-2}) \sin^2 \theta'},$$

where $P_0 = (\alpha + 1)/(\alpha + 5/3)$ is the synchrotron polarization due to a relativistic electron population with particle distribution.
explain the observed anticorrelation between the long-term polarization and flux (Fig. 14). This is due to the much lower Lorentz factor $\Gamma_b = 7$ used for this object, which implies a less dramatic aberration of the viewing angle.

In Fig. 17, we plotted both $P_{\text{het}}$ and $P_{\text{sh}}$ as a function of the viewing angle $\theta$, for $\Gamma_b = 31.1$ (4C 38.41 case) and $\Gamma_b = 7$ (BL Lacertae case). We notice how similar the two models are, $P_{\text{het}}$ being slightly higher at a given $\theta$. Starting from $\theta = 0$ (perfect alignment with the line of sight), the polarization first grows, reaches a maximum at $\theta \sim 1/\Gamma_b$ rad and then more slowly decreases. In the case of 4C 38.41, the peak of polarization occurs at $\theta \approx 1.84$, while for BL Lacertae at $\theta \approx 8.21$. The geometrical interpretation of the long-term optical flux variability of 4C 38.41 led Raiteri et al. (2012) to infer that the viewing angle of the corresponding emission region varied between 2:6 and 5:3. From Fig. 17, we can see that this $\theta$ range is on the descending part of the model curves, so that increasing $\theta$, i.e. reducing $\delta$ and hence the flux, the polarization diminishes. The long-term trend for BL Lacertae implies a change of the viewing angle from 2:0 to 6:8. This range is on the rising part of the corresponding model curves, so that an increase in the viewing angle leads to a growth of the polarization.

12 CONCLUSIONS

We have analysed the behaviour of BL Lacertae during 2008–2012 at millimetre, optical, UV, X-ray and $\gamma$-ray frequencies. A general correlation is found between the optical and $\gamma$ flux variations, which are consistent with being simultaneous, suggesting that the observed optical and $\gamma$-ray photons are produced in the same jet region. The $\gamma$-ray flux variation roughly goes as the square of the optical one, suggesting that $\gamma$-ray photons are produced by inverse-Compton scattering of the low-energy synchrotron photons off their parent relativistic electrons (SSC mechanism). The behaviour of the X-ray flux seems to trace that at mm wavelengths, whose variations follow those at optical/\gamma-ray energies by about $\tau \sim 120$–150 d. This implies that the mm and X-ray observed radiation comes from an emitting region that is located downstream the optical/\gamma-emitting region, which is consistent with the model curves, so that an increase in the viewing angle leads to a growth of the polarization.

11.3 Comparison between BL Lacertae and 4C 38.41

Raiteri et al. (2012) showed that the degree of polarization of the FSRQ 4C 38.41 increases with the optical flux, also after the unpolarized component likely due to thermal emission from the accretion disc is subtracted. This was explained by transverse shock waves travelling inside the jet, adopting a high bulk Lorentz factor $\Gamma_b = 31.1$. In contrast, the shock model applied to BL Lacertae can...
because they are a convoluted many of more models. Indeed, the fact that the optical light curve appears as more structured than the γ-ray curve can only be partially explained by the different sampling. One possible explanation is that the optical emitting region itself presents substructures (Narayan & Piran 2012), and that not all of them produce γ-ray photons.

We have suggested a geometrical interpretation of the long-term flux variability, where different emission regions in the jet have different orientations with respect to the line of sight, which can change over time. These orientation changes lead to observed flux variations even when the intrinsic flux does not vary. In particular, the viewing angle \( \theta \) of the zone producing the optical photons should vary between 2° and 6.8° to explain the long-term trend of the optical flux in the considered period. We have analysed the consequences of this variable orientation on the evolution of the mean optical polarization. We have found that the helical magnetic field model by Lyutikov et al. (2005), where \( P \) is a function of \( \theta \) only, naturally generates changes in \( P \) in the same range as that can be fully explained.

When coupled with the geometrical interpretation of the flux variability, these models offer a simple explanation for the observed correlation/anticorrelation between the long-term polarization and flux in different sources, which appears to depend on the bulk Lorentz factor for any given range of viewing angles.
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